

VILLAGE OF OCONOMOWOC LAKE

**OCONOMOWOC LAKE PLAN COMMISSION MEETING MINUTES of
Monday, August 6, 2012.**

Unofficial until approved by the Plan Commission.

Approved as written (X) or with corrections () on 11/05/2012.

The regular monthly meeting of the Plan Commission of the Village of Oconomowoc Lake was held on Monday, August 6, 2012, commencing at 7:00 p.m., at the Village Hall, 35328 W. Pabst Road, Oconomowoc. Legal requirements for notification as required by law have been met. Roll was taken with the following in attendance:

Mr. Birbaum/Chairperson – present
Messrs. Barquist, Bickler, Foster, Kohl, Waltersdorf/Members – present
Ms. Cameron/Member – absent
Mr. Owens/Member – absent
Ms. Cannariato/Deputy Clerk – present
Mr. Wiemer/Administrator – present
Mr. Macy/Attorney – present

ATTENDANCE

No one was in attendance other than those noted above.

MINUTES

Mr. Barquist requested clarification of the fourth sentence of the Discussion/Action Regarding Transfer of Property Amongst Adjacent Properties. A proposal was made to change “He would build...” to “Mr. Waltersdorf advised that he would...” Motion (Foster/Bickler) to approve the minutes as revised for the Plan Commission meeting held on July 2, 2012, *Carried Unanimously*.

DISCUSSION/ACTION REGARDING REVISIONS TO ORDINANCE 18 SUBDIVISION AND PLATTING PER CORRESPONDENCE FROM MR. MACY DATED JULY 3, 2012, AND DIRECTION FROM THE PLAN COMMISSIONERS AT THEIR JULY 2, 2012 MEETING.

Mr. Bickler questioned the time frame stated in Section 2 (A)(1) with regard to the petition for determination. It was agreed to strike the words following “...file an application...”

Mr. Macy initiated a discussion about the definition of “Adjoined property”, referring to Section 2 (A). Discussion ensued as to examples of property that could be subdivided. Mr. Wiemer suggested a definition – “Property that is adjacent to one another, not separated by a road or body of water”. Mr. Macy refined Mr. Wiemer’s definition as follows – “Properties that have a similar shared lot line of more than a single point and are not separated in any way by a right of way or navigable water”.

Mr. Birbaum questioned the wording in Section 2 (A)(4)(k) and (l) as to “no negative impact” and suggested changing it to “no material negative impact”. It was also suggested to change the same wording in (j). Discussion followed about negative impact examples.

Mr. Bickler proposed changing Section 2 (A)(4)(j) wording from “Additional...” to “Additionally...”.

Mr. Birbaum asked Mr. Macy if Mr. Waltersdorf should recuse himself from the vote. Mr. Macy advised that he should and Mr. Waltersdorf recused himself.

Motion (Barquist/Bickler) to recommend to the Village Board of Trustees approval of the revisions to Ordinance 18, Carried Unanimously.

A public hearing before the Village Board of Trustees will be held in two weeks, on August 20, 2012.

DISCUSSION/ACTION REGARDING THE REVIEW OF CHAPTER 17, DEFINITIONS, STRUCTURE, AND ELEMENTS OF ABOVE-GROUND CONNECTIONS.

Discussion ensued because a Trustee expressed concern about the connections between the main portion of the houses and the guest quarters of the Eilers and Smith residences. The Village's ordinance was previously changed to require above ground connections in order to circumvent underground connections which cause the guest quarters to appear to be detached and an additional residence on the property. The concern of the Trustee is that these limitations are not adequate. After further discussion it was agreed that the ordinance is adequate and that the Architectural Control Board reviews the plans for aesthetic acceptability.

A motion was made to send back to the Village Board that the Plan Commission agreed that no action should be taken to change this ordinance, Carried Unanimously.

ADJOURNMENT

With no further discussion, a motion (Bickler/Foster) to adjourn the meeting at 7:48 p.m., Carried Unanimously.

Respectfully submitted by:
Michele Cannariato – Deputy Clerk